For EPA Use C	Only ID #	
SECTOR		

Worksheet 5. Application Summary 03-006 ©

This out 1	worksheet w for methyl bro	ill be posted mide. Ther	on the web to no efore, this worksh	eet cannot be cla	aimed as CBI.		
1. (Consortium N	Name:	National Pest Management Association				
2. Location: 3. Crop:			Dunn Loring, VA				
		Processed Foods, agricultural commodities, non-food items (machinery, packing & bagged material, non-food cargo), solid wood packing material, and forest and plant products (other than solid-wood packing material).					
f	Pounds of Me Bromide Req	-	2005		lbs.		
5, I	Volume Treat Methyl Bromi	ide	2005		(1,000 cu ft)		
6. I	If methyl bro	mide is req	uested for additi	onal years, reas	on for request:		
•	To date, none situations requ	of the listed uiring its use	i alternatives for r e. Additional time	nethył bromide a is needed for alt	re technically or economically feasible for the majority of ernatives development, registration and introduction to		
-							
	2006	596,401	lbs.	Volume Treate			
				ACIDINA HESTE	d (1,000 cu ft)		
	2007 ce an "X" in th	579,172 ne column(s	ibs.) labeled "Not Ted	Volume Treate	d (1,000 cu ft) " and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use		
	2007 ce an "X" in th	579,172 ne column(s	lbs.	Volume Treate	d (1,000 cu ft) " and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use		
the	2007 ce an "X" in th	579,172 ne column(s olumn to des	lbs.) labeled "Not Tec cribe why the pot	Volume Treate chnically Feasible ential alternative	d(1,000 cu ft) e" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use is not feasible. Reasons		
the	2007_ ce an "X" in th "Reasons" co Potential Alt	579,172 ne column(s olumn to des	lbs. labeled "Not Tectoribe why the potential Not Technically	Volume Treate chnically Feasible ential alternative Not Economically	d(1,000 cu ft) and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use is not feasible.		
Hea	2007_ ce an "X" in th "Reasons" co Potential Alt	579,172 ne column(s numn to des ernatives	Ibs. Iabeled "Not Teccribe why the potentially Rot Technically Feasible	Volume Treate chnically Feasible ential alternative Not Economically Feasible	c" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use is not feasible. Reasons Limited penetation, increased expenses and potential damage to the structure, equipment and ingredients make this alternative undesirable. Some facilities are simply not built to be heated or climatic effects, such as treatments during winter months in northern locations makes this type of treatment impractical. Insects can easily migrate to cooler areas during a treatment, so efficacy and effectiveness of this		
Hea Pho	2007 ce an "X" in th "Reasons" co Potential Alt at	579,172 ne column(s numn to des ernatives	Ibs. Iabeled "Not Teccribe why the potentially Feasible X	Volume Treate chnically Feasible ential alternative Not Economically Feasible X	c" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use is not feasible. Reasons Limited penetation, increased expenses and potential damage to the structure, equipment and ingredients make this alternative undesirable. Some facilities are simply not built to be heated or climatic effects, such as treatments during winter months in northern locations makes this type of treatment impractical. Insects can easily migrate to cooler areas during a treatment, so efficacy and effectiveness of this type of treatment is not yet fully understood. Phosphine is highly corrosive to precious metals and long term effects from repeated fumigations are not known or well understood. Increased downtime of 36 to 72 hours depending on temperatures during the treatment makes this alternative unacceptable in most cases. In addition, insect		

EPA Form # 7620-18b

Post Harvest